Impact of Competitive Inhibitors on Kinetic Isotope Effects in Reaction Catalysed by Tyrosine Phenol-Lyase

Wojciech Augustyniak, Ryszard Kanski, Marianna Kanska

Faculty of Chemistry, Warsaw University, Poland



Isotopes 2005 Bath Bath, UK, June 27 – July 1 2005

Layout

- 1. Introduction:
- properties of tyrosine phenol-lyase
- mechanism of action of the enzyme
- 2. Experimental design of KIE determination
- 3. Results of the research on impact of solvents (H₂O vs D₂O), inhibitors (phenol, L-phenylalanine, S-methyl-L-cysteine) and Lewisa acid (AICl₃) on KIE values on TPL-catalysed reaction
- 4. Discussion and conclusions

Tyrosine Phenol-Lyase



the enzyme catalyses disruption of aromatic carbon – aliphatic carbon bond

- \Box α -hydrogen is partly transferred to 1' carbon of aromatic moiety
- catalyses formation of L-tyrosine from phenol and pyruvate derivatives, alanine racemisation, α-hydrogen liabilisation in amino acid and α–carbon deamination
- monovalent cations (K⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺ lub NH₄⁺) are required for catalytic activity; Na⁺ and Li⁺ are TPL inhibitors

Tyrosine Phenol-Lyase

- other names: β-tyrosinase, TPL, E.C.
 4.1.99.2
- natural sources: Gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae and some arthropods
- structure: α/β protein
 135Å x 60Å x 144Å
 4 subunits 51kDa
 known 3D structure

application:
 biotechnological synthesis of dopa
 PLP determination in biological samples
 phenol waste utilization



Mechanism of Action of TPL



KIE Determination with Non-Competitive Method



- Steady-state kinetics experiments were performed
- Addition of NADH and LDH makes the reaction irreversible and allows rate determination at 340nm
- Measurement of reaction rates at various substrate concentrations allows to determine V_{max} i K_m from Michaelis-Menten equation

KIE Determination with Competitive Method



- Radiochemical method was applied
- Products and substrate were separated using cation-exchange chromatography on Amberlit IR-120
- Specific radioactivities of starting substrate (R₀), separated products (R_p) and conversion degree (f) were determined
- □ Dual-label approach with 1-¹⁴C as an internal standard (remote label) was applied
- NADH and LDH secure reaction irreversibility and convert non-stable pyruvate to stable L-lactate

Isotope Effects on Position 2

H/D KIE

	$\alpha_{_{\rm V}}$	$\alpha_{_{V/K}}$
H ₂ O	3.34 ± 0.25	2.42 ± 0.29
D ₂ O	3.28 ± 0.13	2.24 ± 0.61

 $\Box \alpha$ -hydrogen atom and water molecule participate in the same transition state

 $\Box \alpha$ -hydrogen abstraction is more rate-limitting than its subsequent exchange with solvent



Observed H/T KIE

HO

COOH

H

NH₂

H/D SIE

	$\alpha_{\rm v}$	$\alpha_{_{V/K}}$
L-tyrosine	1.49 ± 0.06	1.81 ± 0.25
[2- ² H]-L-	1.46	1.67
tyrosine	± 0.11	± 0.31

NMR Experiments



Hydrogen KIE on Position 3



Impact of Products on H/T KIE



Observed H/T KIE on 2 and 3S positions does not depend on presence of:
 other products of reaction (pyruvate, ammonia)
 reaction side-product (*p*-hydroxyphenylpyruvate)

Phenol Inhibition Constant Studies

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1}_{k_{-1}} ES \xrightarrow{k_2}_{k_{-2}} EQ \xrightarrow{k_3}_{k_{-3}} EC \xrightarrow{k_4}_{k_4} EA \xrightarrow{k_5}_{k_{-5}} EP \xrightarrow{k_6}_{E+P} E + P$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{i}(\mathbf{V}_{max}/\mathbf{K}_{m}) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_{5} * \mathbf{k}_{6} * (\mathbf{k}_{2} * \mathbf{k}_{3} * \mathbf{k}_{4} + \mathbf{k}_{-1} * \mathbf{k}_{3} * \mathbf{k}_{4} + \mathbf{k}_{-1} * \mathbf{k}_{-2} * \mathbf{k}_{4} + \mathbf{k}_{-1} * \mathbf{k}_{-2} * \mathbf{k}_{-3})}{\mathbf{k}_{-1} * \mathbf{k}_{-2} * \mathbf{k}_{-3} * \mathbf{k}_{-4} * (\mathbf{k}_{-5} + \mathbf{k}_{6})}$$

substrate	inhibitor	$\mathbf{K}_{i}(\mathbf{V}_{max})$	$K_i (V_{max}/K_m)$
		[µM]	[µM]
L-tyrosine	phenol	67 ± 6	64 ± 8
[2- ² H]-L-tyrosine	phenol	131 ± 13	83 ± 7
[3- ² H ₂]-L-tyrosine	phenol	97 ± 6	59 ± 6
L-tyrosine	[2,4,6- ² H ₃]-phenol	54 ± 5	181 ± 29
L-tyrosine	[3,5- ² H ₂]-phenol	46 ± 7	60 ± 6

Impact of Amino Acids on H/T KIE



Does not undergo β-elimination

Impact of Lewis Acid on H/T KIE



Conclusions

relative rates of the reactions steps change during the course of the reaction, e.g. the rates of α and β proton exchange with water decrease dramatically
 heavy water strongly promotes β proton exchange with solvent comparing to water, whereas the isotopic composition of solvent does not significantly affect α proton exchange with solvent
 changes of relative rates of the reaction steps are not caused by intermediates released by the enzyme
 the formation of slowly processed intermediate in one of the very last steps of the reaction may explain the observed phenomena
 Lewis acids inhibit the reaction; however, they do not affect the observed isotope effects

The main conclusion is: we still have a lot of work to do!

Acknowledgements

prof. Robert Phillips (University of Georgia, Athens, USA) – for providing us with TPL and for helpful discussions
 Isotopes 2005 Bath Organizing Committee – for giving me the opportunity to present our results
 Foundation for Polish Science – for the Conference Fellowship supporting my participation in the conference

Thank you for your kind attention!